

A GLOBAL PHYSICIAN-ORIENTED MEDICAL INFORMATION SYSTEM

AXEL BOLDT AND MICHAEL JANICH

ABSTRACT. We propose an Internet-based, free, world-wide, centralized medical information system with two main target groups: practicing physicians and medical researchers. After acquiring patients' consent, physicians enter medical histories, physiological data and symptoms or disorders into the system; an integrated expert system can then assist in diagnosis and statistical software provides a list of the most promising treatment options and medications, tailored to the patient. Physicians later enter information about the outcomes of the chosen treatments, data the system uses to optimize future treatment recommendations. Medical researchers can analyze the aggregate data to compare various drugs or treatments in defined patient populations on a large scale.

1. INTRODUCTION

The two main tasks performed by physicians are:

- diagnosing a disorder, based on presented symptoms, the patient's medical history and features, and ordered tests; and
- choosing the most appropriate treatment or medication for a given disorder and a given patient.

In this article we propose a medical information system which aims to assist physicians in both these tasks.

The ever increasing number of recognized diseases, combined with an explosion in the number of marketed medications, poses formidable challenges to the practicing physician. Many physicians rely mainly on four information sources: their often outdated text books and lecture notes, a small selection of medical journals, possibly biased informational material from pharmaceutical companies, and their personal or anecdotal experiences. All of these are less than ideal, and we maintain that they can and should be supplemented by more rational decision aids based on modern data mining technology.

Date: 8 October 2008.

Key words and phrases. patient records; internet; diagnostic assistance; treatment recommendations; open source software.

The core idea is simple: an expert system and treatment database that physicians access over the Internet. After acquiring informed consent, they enter a patient's physiological data, symptoms and test results and the expert system aids in diagnosis or recommends further tests. Once the disorder is identified, the system recommends those treatments or medications with the highest success probability for that particular patient. The physician chooses a treatment and later records the outcome in the database. This outcome data is used by the system to improve future treatment recommendations.

The system is designed to be used by physicians world wide, with special regard for those working in developing countries. The use will be free of charge and will only require an ordinary modem-speed connection to the internet, something that is now available at reasonable cost in most countries, often via mobile phones.

Since cost is often an important criterion when choosing a treatment or medication, the system's recommendations will be accompanied by cost estimates specific to the physician's location.

The two components of the system, diagnostic expert system and treatment recommendation engine, use the same underlying patient database but are logically independent and the system can go online as soon as one of the two is fully functional. For example, physicians can eschew the expert system altogether, rely on their own diagnostic skills and directly ask for treatment recommendations for a particular patient's disorder.

Apart from aiding physicians in their decision processes and thus improving care and lowering cost on a global scale, the collected data will also provide a rich resource for medical researchers. It will be possible to easily determine the effectiveness of treatments and drugs in various patient populations defined by combinations of characteristics such as age, sex, ethnic group, pre-existing conditions, lifestyle, or any of a large number of physiological measurements recorded by the system. In addition, the system will be immediately useful by helping to compare new, expensive and heavily marketed medications with older, more established alternatives. Evaluation of alternative treatments like acupuncture or herbal remedies, heavily used all over the world but rarely studied in a rigorous manner, will also become much easier. Newly emerging epidemics will be detected in real time, much earlier than is possible today. Lastly, it is likely that mining the database will uncover rare but severe side effects of established medications that have so far escaped detection.

2. DETAILED DESCRIPTION

2.1. Recruitment of physicians. The system will be open to all licensed practicing physicians world-wide. Apart from the costs of a regular Internet connection, use of the system will be free. Rather than attempting to contact all physicians directly, or to advertise in relevant publications, it is hoped that the national medical associations of the various countries can be recruited to promote the system to their members and provide them with authorized access codes. This approach has three advantages over a more direct marketing campaign:

- it is significantly cheaper;
- verification of physician's credentials is done by the organizations best suited for the task;
- physicians extend a natural goodwill bonus to communications from their respective medical association.

2.2. Physicians' interaction with the system. Physicians are provided with access codes (passwords). They will normally interact with the system by accessing the project's website and logging in with their name and password. The website will follow W3-standards and carry few graphics in order to be easily accessible through slow modem connections and simple devices, including mobile devices. It will be designed to make the most common interactions simple and fast.

When accessing the system for the first time, new users are directed to a tutorial about the system's features and are required to agree to a set of rules, mainly pertaining to patients' informed consent and privacy (discussed below in section 2.3).

In the standard use case, after authentication physicians are presented with a list of their patients and past treatments and are invited to input outcome data for these treatments in a quick and simple manner. They are then able to view their patients' records, create new records, use the expert system to receive diagnostic assistance, or use the statistical database to get treatment/medication recommendations. The top-rated treatments will be presented along with estimates of their success probability and their cost. The system further facilitates the physician's decision process by providing easy access to relevant background information, such as reviews from the Cochrane Library ([2]) and medical guidelines from the clearinghouse responsible for the physician's location ([1], [5]).

Signs, symptoms and diseases will be entered using the well-known ICD-10 classification system ([3]), and information about medications

will be accessible through the standard Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical Classification System ([4]). It is to be expected that these systems will not be entirely adequate for our purposes and will have to be modified and extended to a certain degree.

In addition to the direct web-based interface, the system will also provide an XML-based interface, allowing for the easy data exchange with physicians' other software applications, for instance with their standard patient management software or with their systems of interfacing with health insurers.

All patient information data transport will employ a layer of encryption to avoid data being viewed or tampered with by unauthorized third parties.

2.3. Patient identifiers and privacy issues. The system will obey the world's strictest privacy laws, like those commonly found in countries of the European Union. This requires in particular that

- data is collected with full consent and can only be used for the express purposes given in the consent statement; and
- patients retain the right to review their data and to have it removed at any time.

Physicians are required to obtain informed consent before they may enter patients' data into the system. Physicians are not allowed to reject treatment of patients who do not wish to participate in the system.

No personally identifiable information is ever entered into the database: no names, no exact birthdates, no addresses etc. Instead, every patient is identified by a PatientID, a simple number. The physician provides the patient with their PatientID, so that the patient can authorize other physicians to access their data if they so choose. Only physicians explicitly authorized by a patient may access the records associated with that patient's PatientID; physicians are required to keep proof of this authorization on file. Physicians will typically keep a record of all their patients' PatientIDs on their own computer.

It is possible and even to be expected that patients' names together with corresponding PatientIDs will occasionally fall into the wrong hands, for instance if malware is installed on a physician's computer, their office is broken into or a patient's private PatientID note is stolen. Since only physicians associated with the PatientID may access the corresponding record, the risk of data leaks is actually lower than in the current situation, where all patient data would have been stored on the doctor's compromised computer rather than in the online database.

Physicians, unlike patients, do not remain anonymous and are recorded with full name and address in the database. Further, all interactions

of physicians with the system are logged. This allows to trace and identify fraudulent use by non-physicians.

During scientific analysis the database will only be queried in the aggregate and no individual record will be accessed in its entirety. It is however important to note that this does not completely protect against abuses; for instance a query of the form "what percentage of adult black males living in Luxembourg and standing less than 1.6 m tall are HIV positive", even though it uses the database only in the aggregate, can still reveal very private information about a small number of identifiable individuals. It is therefore necessary that scientific analyses be reviewed ahead of time; see section 2.5 below for more details on this process.

2.4. Software. To maximize transparency and to encourage others to submit bug fixes and feature enhancements, the project will make use of existing Open Source software whenever possible and all software written by the project will be published under an Open Source license ([7]).

Diagnostic expert systems have been developed before ([8]; [6] for a comprehensive annotated list), and it is unrealistic to expect the project to duplicate that work. Instead, existing expert systems will be evaluated and the most appropriate one will be licensed and extended. Most modern systems of this type employ Bayesian networks, and these would benefit tremendously from the statistical knowledge stored in the patient database.

The treatment recommendation engine will have to be written from scratch, using existing algorithms that have been developed for data mining applications in marketing; given a database containing customer features and outcomes of past marketing strategies, these algorithms can predict the most promising marketing strategy tailored to a given customer. (See [9] for a survey of data mining algorithms.) The algorithm will have to account for the fact that information about patients is not necessarily complete; for example, only some patient records will contain a recent blood glucose level.

As is usual in data mining applications, the comparatively low quality of data collection procedures is compensated for by the large quantity of data. A variety of different heuristics can be used to approach the recommendation problem and a final algorithm can only be chosen after empirical evaluation.

As mentioned above, the system requires information about the prices of treatments and medications in the various countries. It is possible and desirable that the collection and maintenance of this data

be carried out in collaboration with the various national medical associations. The problem is non-trivial, since drug prices can vary widely even within countries.

2.5. Scientific analysis of the collected aggregate data. For reasons outlined in section 2.3 above, it is necessary that all research proposals be reviewed ahead of time. Credentialed medical researchers may submit research proposals explaining the study’s rationale, accompanied by the software that is to analyze the database. After review of proposal and software, the software is run against the live database and the results are returned to the researcher. Research proposal, analysis software and results are made public to ensure that negative results are not suppressed. The entire process is free of charge for the researcher.

Toy systems with the same database structure as the live system (but without real-world data) are provided to researchers, so that their analysis software can be tested and debugged ahead of time. The use of free software, as described in section 2.4 above, will hopefully result in a rich ecosystem of analysis software freely shared among researchers.

Every statistical analysis of the systems database needs to take into account that the system does not and cannot guarantee that different PatientIDs always correspond to different patients. Furthermore, conclusions based on analysis of the database are of course valid only for the subpopulation of patients who are cared for by participating physicians and who have given consent to participating in the system; this may not be a representative sample. External studies comparing this subpopulation to the general patient population will be highly desirable.

As with all data mining applications, correlations discovered in the data will have to be confirmed by traditional randomized trials.

2.6. Organizational structure and funding. The system will be developed, deployed and run by a non-profit organization, to be set up in a jurisdiction that grants tax-free status to such organizations and that has strong privacy protection laws. The organization will be assisted by a board of external advisors.

To maintain neutrality and to avoid unduly influences on physicians decision processes, the system’s website will not carry any advertisements. The project will be financed completely by donations and grants. These may come from individuals, companies (especially health insurers), charity foundations, or national or international health organizations. It is possible that an organization such as the NIH’s National Library of Medicine can be convinced to host and run the system. An alternative model of funding, especially once the system is established

and accepted, would have the governments of rich participating countries pay a (small) set amount per patient.

3. CONCLUSION AND OUTLOOK

The proposed system, once fully implemented, will improve world-wide medical care in a number of important ways:

- practicing physicians, even in developing countries, will receive easy and free access to a medical expert system that can assist in diagnosis;
- physicians receive promising treatment options, tailored to the patient, based on past collected outcome data;
- inclusion of price data for treatments and medications allows physicians to choose the most cost-effective option in any given situation;
- with patients' consent, medical histories stored in the database are easily transferrable from one physician to another;
- creative use of the collected aggregate data will allow medical researchers to identify subpopulations of patients that respond particularly well to a certain medication or treatment;
- comparisons of new drugs with established generic medications for the same condition become straightforward.

In the future, the system can be extended to incorporate patients' genotype data, thereby representing an important step towards the longstanding goal of truly personalized medicine.

In addition to these quite concrete and immediate benefits, we would like to express our hope that widespread adoption of the system will cause the profession of physician to evolve: from a passive container of knowledge about symptom-disease correlations and disease-treatment success probabilities to an active partner of the patient who reassures, explains disorders and treatments, inquires and provides advice about the patients life circumstances and in general maximizes the placebo effect in every way possible. This, we believe, will ultimately turn out to be one of the main benefits of the proposed system: the placebo effect, long considered a quirky nuisance by western medicine, will return to its rightful place at the center of the healers work. Traditional systems of medicine will have much to teach to physicians freed from the more mundane tasks of their profession.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. Ollenschlger *et al.* Improving the quality of health care: using international collaboration to inform guideline programmes by founding the Guidelines International Network (G-I-N). *Quality & safety in health care*. December 2004; 13(6):455-60.
- [2] The Cochrane Collaboration. <http://www.cochrane.org> (accessed 27 September 2008).
- [3] World Health Organization. *The International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Health Related Problems ICD-10, Second Edition*, 2004
- [4] WHO Collaborating Centre for Drug Statistics Methodology. *Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical Classification System*. 2008.
<http://www.whocc.no/atcddd/> (accessed 27 September 2008).
- [5] Hatsek A, Young O, Shalom E, Shahar Y. DeGeL: a clinical-guidelines library and automated guideline-support tools. *Studies in health technology and informatics* 2008;139:203-12.
- [6] Judith Federhofer. *Medical Expert Systems. Doctor's Silent Partners*.
<http://www.computer.privateweb.at/judith/index.html> (accessed 31 December 2006, now only available through <http://www.archive.org/>).
- [7] Andres M. St. Laurent. *Understanding Open Source and Free Software Licensing*. O'Reilly Media, 2004.
- [8] E. Coiera. *The Guide to Health Informatics (2nd Edition)*. Arnold, London, October 2003.
- [9] David Hand, Heikki Mannila, Padhraic Smyth. *Principles of Data Mining*. MIT Press, 2001

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, METROPOLITAN STATE UNIVERSITY, ST.
PAUL, MN 55106, USA

E-mail address: `Axel.Boldt@metrostate.edu`

B2 F5 VANTAGE PARK, 22 CONDUIT RD, MID LEVELS, HONG KONG

E-mail address: `michael@janich.com`